

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

09/088,95/

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/088,951 06/02/98 CHEEVER M 920010.535

000500 HM12/0411 SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLL 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300 SEATTLE WA 98104-7092

EXAMINER
UNGAR, S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1642

1642

DATE MAILED: 04/11/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

,			•
Ū TH	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		
a) 🔲	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
	Any extension of time must be obtained. The date on which the response, the purposes of determining the period of 1.17 will be calculated from the date of	d by filing a petition under 37 CFR etition, and the fee have been filed extension and the corresponding ar the originally set shortened statuto	1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee.  I is the date of the response and also the date for the  nount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR  ry period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	peliants Brief is due in accordance with	37 CFR 1.192(a).	
ισμ	olicant's response to the final rejection, foliace the application in condition for allo	wance:	considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim	and /or specification will not be en	tered and the final rejection stands because:
	<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing u presented.</li> </ul>	nder 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the prop	posed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
•	b. They raise new issues that would	require further consideration and/or	search. (See Note).
(	c.   They raise the issue of new matte	r. (See Note).	•
•	<ul> <li>d. They are not deemed to place the appeal.</li> </ul>	e application in better form for appe	al by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
6	e. They present additional claims with	thout cancelling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.
2. N	Sets tome Ag is the Goest mod of State a sets from lewly proposed or amended claims no non-allowable claims.	would be allowed	MEN 1250 & drowp to whether the or pop-human source + carses MATINE TO A MON-HUMAN SOURCE &
3. 🖵 U	pon the filing an appeal, the proposed a e as follows:	mendment will be entered	will not be entered and the status of the claims will
C	laims allowed: Pope laims objected to: Howe laims rejected: 1, 7-9 + 11-	- /2	consider the exiter of
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): (1) Rejection of chains 1,7-9 & 11-12  UNDER 35 USC 102 (154)  4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because UNDER 35 USC 112 154  35 USC 112 154 pagas April Con the report of the rejection because UNDER 35 USC 112 154  at the chains is constrained for the report of the rejection because UNDER 154  April 154 pagas April 155  The affidavit is constrained for the report of the rejection because UNDER 155  The chains is constrained for the report of the rejection to the rejection because UNDER 155  The affidavit is constrained for the report of the rejection to t			
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. 15 120 the first the form of the species of those homeoforms. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.			
Other			SUSAN UNGAR, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER